1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 11 12 Case No. 18-cv-00855-EMC 3taps, Inc., [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT LINKEDIN'S MOTION 13 Plaintiff, 14 TO DISMISS VS. 15 LinkedIn Corporation, 16 Defendant. Judge: Hon. Edward M. Chen Hearing Date: Hearing Time: October 27, 2022 1:30 p.m. 17 Courtroom 5 Ctrm: 18 None Set Trial Date: 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT LINKEDIN CORPORATION'S MOTION TO DISMISS 18-cv-00855-EMC

On August 1, 2022, Defendant LinkedIn Corporation ("LinkedIn") moved to dismiss the 1 Second Amended Complaint of Plaintiff 3taps, Inc. ("3taps") in this action. Having reviewed and 2 considered the parties' written submissions and arguments, as well as the other related papers and 3 pleadings on file herein, and finding good cause appearing, the Court hereby GRANTS 4 Defendant's Motion to Dismiss. 5 Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint presents claims for declaratory relief regarding the 6 Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, California Penal Code Section 502, and California common law 7 trespass and breach of contract. The Court finds that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint 8 must be dismissed because there is no Article III case or controversy between the parties and, as 9 such, no subject matter jurisdiction. First, 3taps is a void corporation and lacks capacity to bring 10 this suit. Second, 3taps alleges no concrete harm, the hallmark requirement of standing to 11 maintain suit. Third, there is no actual case or controversy between 3taps and LinkedIn because 12 there is no ripe dispute. 13 Further, even if subject matter jurisdiction exists, I exercise my discretion to dismiss this 14 declaratory relief action. 3taps misrepresented the nature of this purported dispute in order to 15 avoid another judge of this Court and should not be permitted to maintain this action in equity in 16 light of its conduct. 17 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT, for the reasons explained above, 18 LinkedIn's Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED. 3taps's claims against LinkedIn are hereby 19 DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. 20 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 23 DATED: By:\_ 24 HON. EDWARD M. CHEN 25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 26 27 28 - 1 -